

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,831	12/12/2001	Mark Andrew Boys	P1364	7488	
24739 75	90 01/06/2006		EXAMINER		
CENTRAL COAST PATENT AGENCY			HEWITT II, CALVIN L		
PO BOX 187 AROMAS, CA	95004	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
, -			3621		

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s) 10/016,831 BOYS, MARK AND		Applicant(s)			
		10/016,83			NDREW			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Calvin L. H		3621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with	h the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuster to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or exten	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve nication. Itory period will apply and wil II, by statute, cause the appli	IIS COMMUNIC, int, however, may a reput the spire SIX (6) MONT ication to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed (HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, .			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 13 October 2004	5					
2a)□		o)⊠ This action is no						
3)	<u>, </u>							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disnositi	ion of Claims	z zwo. zw pario gai	zy.o, 1000 C.D.	71, 100 0.0. 210.				
· _								
	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 25 and 26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	•	on and/or alpation	4					
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	0-948) FO/SB/08)		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/016,831

Art Unit: 3621

Status of Claims

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-24 are drawn to a system for making charitable donations, classified in class 705, subclass 1.
 - II. Claims 25 and 26 are drawn to a banking system, class 235, subclass 379
- Newly submitted claims 24 and 25 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as fund raising, while invention II has separate utility such as electronic wallets automatically receiving a direct deposit from the wallet holder's employer. See MPEP 806.05(d).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 25 and 26 are

Application/Control Number: 10/016,831 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP. 821.03. Applicant is respectfully requested to cancel the non-elected claims in response to this office action.

3. Claims 1-24 have been examined.

Response to Amendments/Arguments

4. Applicant has amended the claims to recite "a data store accessible to the server and storing information about individuals or families...". Previously the claim 1 recited "a data store accessible to the server and storing information about potential recipients". To one of ordinary skill, however, individuals or families are "recipients". Therefore, an obvious modification to the teaching of Gruber et al. would be to a charitable organization (figures 8 and 9) that represents a specific individual or family needing to raise money to pay for a family member's operation. Further, in order to pay for a surgery (or promote arthritis research, save the whales, encourage reading, etc.) the family would need to access any donated funds therefore, Gruber et al. also teaches or at least clearly suggests withdrawing the funds.

Applicant recites software executing on a server (see claim 1, paragraph 3; claims 5-8) to enable the server to perform tasks such as the selection of one

Art Unit: 3621

or more pre-qualified individuals or families. However, these tasks are never actually performed and the software merely enables the task to occur or potentially occur ("to be", "may be" or "enable" language). To one of ordinary skill, a server is a computer, and a computer utilizes software such as an operating system, that *enables* the server to be *programmed* to perform numerous tasks (e.g. search databases, pay for goods and services, send email and play music online). Hence, the server of Gruber et al. necessarily comprises Applicant's *enabling* software.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "a data store accessible to the server and storing information about individuals or families, pre-qualified for donations and associated each with a specified account with a financial institution...", however, it is unclear what the Applicant means by "associated each".

Claims 2-12 are also rejected as they depend from claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,831 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-7 and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gruber et al., US Patent Application Publication 2002/0029179.

As per claims 1, 4, 5, 13, 16 and 17, Gruber et al. teach a system for direct donation comprising:

- server accessible by potential donors (figure 1)
- data store accessible to the server and storing information about
 recipients pre-qualified to receive donations (figures 1, 2, and 8-10)
- software suite enabling a donor to view information about recipients and enabling a donor to a make a donation to the selected recipient (figures 2 and 8-10)
- setting up accounts for donors and recipients interacting with a financial institution for crediting a recipient account and debiting a donor account (figures 5 and 6)

Application/Control Number: 10/016,831

Art Unit: 3621

Gruber et al. do not specifically recite individuals or families. However, to one of ordinary skill, a charitable organization can be a large (e.g. UNICEF), a single individual, or any size in-between (figures 8 and 9), therefore the prior art at least suggests storing information about recipients such as individuals or families.

As per claims 2 and 14, Gruber et al. teach a system for making donations over the internet (figures 1, 2 and 8-10). Gruber et al. also teach displaying recipients (figures 3 and 5), "clicking on a recipient" (figure 3), and learning more about a recipient at a recipient's webpage (page 3, paragraph 37). Hypertext and hyperlinks are old and well known. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to construct a web document where a user can click on a recipient and be transferred to a webpage where a user can learn more about the selected recipient.

As per claims 3 and 15, Gruber et al. teach a system for making donations over the internet (figures 1, 2 and 8-10). Specifically, users interacting with the Gruber et al. system communicate with a server (figure 1; page 2, paragraph 33). A well-known method for connecting with a server is via IVR.

As per claims 6, 7, 18 and 19, Gruber et al. teach a system for making donations over the internet (figures 1, 2, and 8-10). Gruber et al. also teach setting up accounts for donors and recipients and interacting with a financial institution for crediting a recipient account and debiting a donor account (figures

Application/Control Number: 10/016,831 Page 7

Art Unit: 3621

5 and 6). Regarding viewing accounts, once a client as set-up an account with a financial institution, such as a bank, it is well-known that said client has access to said account. Gruber et al. disclose tax-exempt entities such as charities and nonprofit organizations (page 3, paragraphs 33 and 36). In order to qualify as "tax-exempt", an organization must file with the IRS to determine eligibility under 501(c)(3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to make this information available to donors on the recipient's webpage in order to encourage said donor to make donations (page 3, paragraphs 36 and 37)

 Claims 8-12 and 20-24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gruber et al., US Patent Application Publication 2002/0029179 and Cohen, U.S. Patent No. 6,422,462.

As per claims 8-12 and 20-24, Gruber et al. teach a system for making donations over the internet (figures 1, 2 and 8-10). It is well known to those of ordinary skill in charitable giving and charitable organizations to donate monetary and non-monetary gifts. However, Gruber et al. do not specifically recite redeemable documents associated with an identity for redeeming a donation. Cohen teaches a system for creating redeemable and non-transferable cards comprising creating virtual identities for a recipient which must be associated with the redeemable card to implement redemption (abstract; column 3, lines 18-27; column/line 3/55-4/11; column 5, lines 10-16; column/line 7/65-8/57; column 10,

Art Unit: 3621

4-13; column/line 11/57-12/15; column 13, lines 38-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Gruber et al. and Cohen in order to prevent an organization from using a donor's gift in a manner other unauthorized by the donor ('462, column/line 7/65-8/58).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (571) 272-6709. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell, can be reached at (571) 272-6712.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

c/o Technology Center 2100

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communications intended for entry and after-final communications),

or:

Art Unit: 3621

(571) 273-6709 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

alvin Lovd Hewitt II

January 3, 2006